UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------|---------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/710,362 | 07/04/2004 | Eric Schneider | 93767 | 4361 |
| | 7590 | EXAMINER | | |
| 120 SOUTH LA | ASALLE STREET | VOSTAL, ONDREJ C | | |
| SUITE 1600 CHICAGO, IL | 60603-3406 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2453 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 09/01/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|-----------------|--|--|
| 10/710,362 | SCHNEIDER, ERIC | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| O. C. Vostal | 2453 | | |

| | O. C. Vostal | 2453 | |
|---|---|---|---|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION | ON IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWA | NCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance | t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | which places the r (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth | | |
| no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f | o). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | • | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extrunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic | ate extension fee be action; or (2) as |
| | ionac with 27 CED 41 27 must be | filad within two month | o of the data of |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the complexity. | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | |
| AMENDMENTS | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core. | sideration and/or search (see NO | | cause |
| (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belown) (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or | • | ducing or simplifying t | he issues for |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c | orresponding number of finally reje | ected claims. | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.17 | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | . ,, | mpliant Amendment (| PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be alled non-allowable claim(s). | owable if submitted in a separate, t | imely filed amendmer | nt canceling the |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | l be entered and an e | xplanation of |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | ıl and/or appellant fail | s to provide a |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | of the status of the claims after er | ntry is below or attach | ed. |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but | does NOT place the application in | condition for allowan | ce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other: | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | |
| /ARIO ETIENNE/ | /O. C. Vostal/ | | |
| Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2457 | Examiner, Art Unit 2453 | | |
| | | | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Newly amended claim 1 requires further search and further considerations. For example, in claim 1, addition of "query" to several limitations. Updated limitations include "a first data query from at least one source", "from the at least one source in response to said first data query" and "said second data query is automatically generated". Also, this change is in conflict with application specification [0026].